EXHIBIT A

SUPREME COURT	OF THE	STATE O	FNEW	YORK
COUNTY OF WEST	CHESTI	ER		

DEREK RODRIGUEZ,

Index No.:

Plaintiff.

Date Filed:

-against-

Plaintiff designates Westchester County as the place of trial.

VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER, POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING, Shield No. 126, POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOE No. 1-5 and POLICE OFFICERS JANE DOE No. 1-3

SUMMONS

The basis of venue is Plaintiff's place of residence.

Defendants.

TO THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANTS:

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the Complaint in this action, and to serve a copy of your answer, or if the Complaint is not served with this Summons, to serve a Notice of Appearance, on the Plaintiff's attorney within twenty (20) days after the service of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service or within thirty (30) days after service is complete if this Summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

Dated:

White Plains, New York

May 8, 2019

Yours, etc.,

LAW OFFICES OF FRANCIS X. YOUNG, PLLC By:

FRANCIS X. YOUNG, ESQ. /

Attorneys for Plaintiff, DEREK RODRIGUEZ Office and Post Office Address 11 Martine Avenue, 12th Floor White Plains, New York 10606

(914) 285-1500

Defendants' Addresses:

VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER Corporation Counsel, Department of Law 222 Grace Church Street Port Chester, New York 10573

POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING, Shield No. 126 c/o Village of Port Chester Police Department 350 North Main Street, #1
Port Chester, New York 10573

POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOE No. 1-5 c/o Village of Port Chester Police Department 350 North Main Street, #1 Port Chester, New York 10573

POLICE OFFICERS JANE DOE No. 1-3 c/o Village of Port Chester Police Department 350 North Main Street, #1 Port Chester, New York 10573

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	
COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER	
	·X
DEREK RODRIGUEZ,	

Plaintiff,

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

-against-

Index No.:

VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER, POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING, Shield No. 126, POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOE No. 1-5 and POLICE OFFICERS JANE DOE No. 1-3,

Date filed:

Defendants.

Plaintiff, DEREK RODRIGUEZ, complaining of the Defendants, by and through his attorneys, THE LAW OFFICES OF FRANCIS X. YOUNG, PLLC, as and for his Complaint, hereby alleges as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- and 1988 and the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and under the common law of the State of New York for assault, battery, excessive force, conspiracy, malicious prosecution and violation of Plaintiff's civil rights against the VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER, POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING, Shield No. 126, individually and in his official capacity as Village of Port Chester Police Officer and POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOE No. 1-5 and POLICE OFFICERS JANE DOE No. 1-3, individually and in their official capacity as Village of Port Chester Police Officers.
- (2) It is alleged that the Defendants committed assault, battery, excessive force, illegal seizure of the Plaintiff, violating his rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution and under state and federal common law. It is further alleged

that these violations and torts were committed as a result of the policies and customs of the Village of Port Chester and the Village of Port Chester Police Department.

CONDITIONS PRECEDENT TO SUIT

- (3) Within ninety (90) days after the claim herein arose, a Notice of Claim was served upon the Defendant, VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER, and at least thirty (30) days have elapsed since the service of the aforesaid Notice of Claim, and adjustment or payment thereof has been neglected or refused.
- (4) This action is being commenced within one year and ninety days after the happening of the events upon which the claim is based.

THE PARTIES

- OFFICERS JOHN DOE No. 1-5 and POLICE OFFICES JANE DOE No. 1-3 were at all times relevant to this complaint duly appointed and acting officers of the Village of Port Chester Police Department, acting under color of law, to wit, under color of the statutes, ordinances, regulations, policies, customs and usages of the State of New York and/or the Village of Port Chester and/or the Village of Port Chester Police Department.
- (6) Defendant VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER is a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, and transacting business therein and the public employer of Defendants, POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING, POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOE No. 1-5 and POLICE OFFICERS JANE DOE No. 1-3.
- (7) Plaintiff DEREK RODRIGUEZ was at all material times a resident of the County of Westchester, State of New York.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- (8) This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER because it is a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, and transacting business therein.
- (9) This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING, Shield No. 126, POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOE No. 1-5 and POLICE OFFICERS JANE DOE No. 1-3, because they are employed by the VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER.
- (10) This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action by virtue of its status as a Court of general jurisdiction.

THE FACTS

- (11) On or about August 1, 2018, in the morning hours in the vicinity of 80 Poningo Street, Village of Port Chester and State of New York, Plaintiff, DEREK RODRIGUEZ was threatened, wrongfully restrained, retained, assaulted and battered, handcuffed, arrested and processed.
- (12) Plaintiff, DEREK RODRIGUEZ did not contribute to his own damages and is free of negligence or contributory conduct.
- (13) It is believed that the accusatory instrument was signed by POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING, who is believed to be identified as Shield No. 126.
- (14) It is claimed Defendant, POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING and others working with him at the Village of Port Chester Police Department did not have probable cause or justification to touch, assault, batter, detain and arrest.

the Plaintiff, DEREK RODRIGUEZ, and failed to properly investigate the facts preceding Plaintiff's

- (15) Plaintiff, DEREK RODRIGUEZ, had neither physically resisted nor assaulted the Defendant Police Officers in any way, and the force used against him was unnecessary, unreasonable, and excessive.
- (16) As a direct and proximate result of the acts of Defendants, the Plaintiff DEREK RODRIGUEZ suffered physical, mental, economic and emotional damages.
- (17) As a direct and proximate result of the actions of the Defendants, Plaintiff's DEREK RODRIGUEZ's constitutional rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and state and federal common law were violated. Specifically, the following clearly established and well-settled state and federal common law and constitutional rights of the plaintiff were violated:
 - (a) Freedom from the unreasonable seizure of his person;
- (b) Freedom from the use of excessive, unreasonable, and unjustified force against his person;
 - (d) Right of due process;
 - (e) Equal protection under the law;

COUNT I 42 U.S.C. § 1983 4th and 14th Amendments

(18) The Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 17 of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

(19) Plaintiff DEREK RODRIGUEZ claims damages for the injuries set forth above under 42 U.S.C. 1983 against Defendants, for violation of his constitutional rights under color of law including the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and state and federal common law.

COUNT II 42 U.S.C. § 1985

- (20) The Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 19 of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- (21) Plaintiff DEREK RODRIGUEZ claims damages for the injuries set forth above under 42 U.S.C. § 1985 against Defendants for conspiring to violate his constitutional rights under color of law.

COUNT III 42 U.S.C. § 1986

- (22) The Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 21 of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- (23) Plaintiff DEREK RODRIGUEZ claims damages for the injuries set forth above under 42 U.S.C. § 1986 against Defendants for Defendants' failure to intervene and prevent the aforesaid violation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights and conspiracy to commit same.

Count IV Malicious Prosecution

(24) The Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 23 of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

- (25) Defendants' concerted actions, under color of law, caused Plaintiff to be maliciously prosecuted in violation of his civil rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, 42 U.S.C. §1983 and the laws of the State of New York.
- (26) As a result of the above, Plaintiff, DEREK RODRIGUEZ, suffered damages to his reputation, incurred legal fees, suffered mental and emotional trauma, lost his enjoyment of life and was deprived of his civil rights and personal liberty as protected by the United States Constitution and applicable Federal Statutes.
- (27) As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing actions, said Defendants deprived Plaintiff of rights, privileges and immunities secured by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

Count V 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Municipal Violations

- (28) The Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in 1 through 27 of this Complaint with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- permitted and tolerated a pattern and practice of unjustified, unreasonable and illegal use of force against civilians by police officers of its police department and illegal arrests by police officers of its police department and illegal arrests by police officers of its police department. Although such illegal use of force was improper, the officers involved were not prosecuted, disciplined or subjected to restraint, and such incidents were in fact covered up with official claims that the use of force was justified and proper. As a result, police officers of the VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER were caused and encouraged to believe that civilian persons could be abused under the circumstances not requiring the use of excessive force, and that such conduce would in fact be permitted by the VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER.

- (30) In addition to permitting a pattern and practice of abuse of civilian persons, the VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER has failed to maintain a proper system for investigation of all incidents of unjustified beatings and excessive use of force by police officers.
- (31) The VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER has maintained a system of review of unjustified and excessive use of force, and illegal arrests by police officers that has failed to identify the improper use of force by police officers and failed to subject officers who assault citizens to discipline, closer supervision or restraint and who illegally arrested citizens, to the extent that it has become the custom of the VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER to tolerate the improper, illegal arrests and other wrongful actions by police officers.
- (32) Upon information and belief, specific flaws in the VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER use of force review process include, but are not limited to, the following:
- a. Preparing reports regarding investigations of excessive force claims as routine point-by-point justifications of the police officer's actions, regardless of whether such actions are justified;
- b. Police officers investigations of excessive force claims systematically fail to credit testimony by non-police officer witnesses, and uncritically rely on reports by police officers involved in the incident;
- c. Police officers investigating excessive force claims fail to include in their reports relevant factual information which would tend to contradict the statements of the police officers involved;
- d. Supervisory police officers at times issue public statements exonerating police officers for excessive use of force and improper use of unnecessary and excessive force before the investigation of the incident by the police department has been completed;

- e. Reports in use of force cases are not reviewed for accuracy by supervisory officers. Conclusions are frequently permitted to be drawn on the basis of clearly incorrect or contradictory information.
- (33) The foregoing acts, omissions, systemic flaws, policies and customs of the Defendant VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER caused police officers of its police department to believe that excessive force and other improper actions would not be aggressively, honestly and properly investigated, with the foreseeable result that officers would most likely use excessive force in situations where such force is neither necessary nor reasonable.
- (34) As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid acts, omissions, systemic flaws, policies, practices and customs of the Defendant VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER, and Defendants, POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING, Shield No. 126, POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOE No. 1-5 and POLICE OFFICERS JANE DOE No. 1-3. POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING, Shield No. 126, POLICE OFFICES JOHN DOE No. 1-5 and POLICE OFFICERS JANE DOE No. 1-3 unjustifiably assault and detained Plaintiff DEREK RODRIGUEZ all in violation of his civil and constitutional rights and as a result of said acts Plaintiff has suffered from physical injury, psychological harm, mental distress, humiliation, embarrassment, fear and being prevented from attending his usual duties.
- OF PORT CHESTER has acted under color of custom and policy to condone, encourage and promote the deprivation of Plaintiff DEREK RODRIGUEZ's rights as guaranteed under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985 as well as under the Fourth, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the U.S. Constitution; to wit, the Defendants POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING, Shield No. 126, and POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOE #1-5 and POLICE OFFICERS JANE DOE #1-3 were

encouraged by the VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER to believe that their actions against the Plaintiff would be accepted without impunity, just as these actions have been so accepted to date.

- (36) As a consequence of Defendants' systemic practice, pattern and custom of intentionally promoting and supporting Officers' violations of 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985, Plaintiff DEREK RODRIGUEZ was deprived of his freedom and physically harmed, to the extent of which he suffered from various injuries that required medical attention.
- (37) As a proximate cause of the VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER's custom and policy of supporting and effectively promoting the very same police abuses which occurred against Plaintiff DEREK RODRIGUEZ said Plaintiff was further subjected to great fear, personal humiliation and degradation, with wanton disregard for the serious harm and damage done to the physical and emotional wellbeing of the Plaintiff.
- OFFICERS JOHN DOE #1-5 and POLICE OFFICERS JANE DOE #1-3 and VII.LAGE OF PORT CHESTER had a duty under 42 U.S.C. §§1983 and 1985, as well as under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment, New York State Law, and their own rules and regulations to prevent and cease the assault on Plaintiff, as well as a duty to investigate, supervise and discipline Defendants POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING, Shield No. 126, and POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOE #1-5 and POLICE OFFICERS JANE DOE #1-3 and prevent other wrongful acts that were committed against Plaintiff DEREK RODRIGUEZ. Defendant OFFICERS breached said duty, by their actions and omissions as described in the preceding paragraphs.
- (39) That by reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff has been damaged in amounts exceeding the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction of this claim.

COUNT VI Assault and Battery (Excessive Force)

- (40) The Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 39 of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- (41) Defendants POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING, Shield No. 126, POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOE No. 1-5 and POLICE OFFICERS JANE DOE No. 1-3 caused Plaintiff DEREK RODRIGUEZ to be assaulted and battered.
- (42) As a result of this assault and battery, Plaintiff was forced to endure physical and emotional pain and suffering.
- (43) Plaintiff claims damages for the physical and emotional pain and suffering incurred as the result of said assault and battery.
- (44) As a result of the above, Plaintiff, DEREK RODRIGUEZ, suffered personal injuries, suffered mental and emotional trauma, and was deprived of his civil rights and personal liberty as protected by the United States and New York State Constitution and applicable Federal and New York State statutes.

ATTORNEYS FEES

- (45) The Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 44 of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- (46) Plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988.

 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DEREK RODRIGUEZ, requests that this Court grant judgment to him containing the following relief:

- Award compensatory damages to Plaintiff against the Defendants, jointly and severally;
 - (2) Award costs of the action to the Plaintiff;
 - (3) An award to Plaintiff of his actual damages;
 - (4) An award to Plaintiff of reasonable attorney fees;
 - (5) An award to Plaintiff of punitive damages; and
 - (6) Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just, proper and equitable.

Dated: White Plains, New York May 8, 2019

Respectfully yours,

LAW OFFICES OF FRANCIS X. YOUNG, PLLC

BY:

FRANCIS X. YOUNG

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEREK RODRIGUEZ

Office and Post Office Address
11 Martine Avenue, 12th Floor

11 Martine Avenue, 12th Floor White Plains, New York 10606 (914) 285-1500

Case 7:19-cv-04728-JCM Document 1-1 Filed 05/22/19 Page 15 of 27

BLACKSTONE STATIONERS, INC. 79 EMJAY BLVD., BRENTWOOD, N.Y. 11717 · 800-632-2273

STATE OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF

Individual Verification	☐ deponent is the			orn, deposes and says, that: n action; has read the foregoing	
verijicanon	- deponent is the		, in the within	action, has read the foregoing	
Corporate Verification	☐ deponent is the		of the	corporation in the within action and knows the contents thereof;	and knows the contents thereon; has read the foregoing
Attorney's Affirmation	☐ the undersigned is	an attorney admitted to	practice in the court	s of New York; is the attorney of record	for the
	and knows the co	n action; has read the for ontents thereof; the sa pelief and as to those i	me is true to affir	mant's own knowledge, except as to believes it to be true. This verification	o those matters said to be upo on is made by affirmant becaus
				affirmant's own knowledge are as follow	
the sam matters, are as fol	deponent believes it	nt's own knowledge, to be true. The ground	except as to those nds of deponent's	e matters said to be upon informat belief as to all matters not stated u	ion and belief and as to thos pon deponent's own knowledg
Affirmed	I this	day of	, 20		
				(Print Name Bend	eath Signature)
	before me this				
day	of		, 20	(Print Name Bene	ath Signature)
by Attorney				of New York and certifies that the within to be a true and complete copy.	n
Dated	da	ny of	, 20	(Print Name Bene	ath Signature)
STATE	E OF NEW YORK	COUNTY OF		001	
	011121110111	,, 0001111 01	being duly swo	ss: rn, deposes and says, that deponent is no	at a party to this action is over 18
	years of age and res			; that on the	day of , 20 ,
Affidavit of Personal Service	deponent served the ☐ upon		personally, by de	livering a true copy thereof to h	. Deponent knew the
Affidavit of Service	person served to be upon	the person mentioned ar	nd described in said		in this cation of
By Mail	the address designa wrapper, in a post-o	ted by said attorney(s) for office/official depository	or that purpose by dunder the exclusive	attorney for epositing a true copy of same enclosed e care and custody of the United States	in this action, a in a post-paid, properly addressed Postal Service within the State of
Service by Electronic Means	attorney for such received. I also dep	purpose. I received a sosited a true copy of the	signal from the eq papers, enclosed in	ephone number listed below, which uipment of the attorney served indic a post-paid wrapper, in an official depos orney at the address set forth after the na	ating that the transmission was
Overnight Delivery Service	☐ by depositing a true	copy thereof, enclosed i	in a wrapper address or overnight delivery	ed as shown below, into the custody of , prior to the latest time designated by the	nat service for overnight delivery.
Swori	n to before me this	day of	, 20		
				(Print Name Bene	ath Signature)

Case 7:19-cv-04728-JCM Document 1-1 Filed 05/22/19 Page 16 of 27

Index No.

Year 20

57470/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER

DEREK RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER, POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING, Shield No. 126, POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOE No. 1-5 and POLICE OFFICERS JANE DOE No. 1-3,

Defendants.

SUMMONS and COMPLAINT

LAW OFFICES OF FRANCIS X. YOUNG, PLLC

Attorney(s) for Plaintiff

Office and Post Office Address, Telephone

11 MARTINE AVENUE, 12TH FLOOR WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK 10606 TELEPHONE: (914) 285-1500 FAX: (914) 285-0055

То						
Attorney(s) for						
Service of a copy	y of the within			is here	by admitted.	
Dated,						
	Attorney(s) for					
Sir: Please ta	Y					
duly entered in the c	certified) true copy of a office of the clerk of the w	ithin named	l court or)		20
NOTICE OF SETTE that an order settlement to the HC		of wh	nich the v	vithin is a true	e copy will be pre one of th	sented for ne judges
of the within named on the	Court, at day of	20	at	M.		
Dated,						

COMPLIANCE PURSUANT TO 22 NYCRR §130-1.1-a

To the best of the undersigned's knowledge, information and belief formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, the within document(s) and contentions contained herein are not frivolous as defined in 22 NYCRR §130-1.1-a.

Yours, etc. **LAW OFFICES OF**

FRANCIS X. YOUNG, PLLC

Attorney(s) for Plaintiff

Office and Post Office Address

11 MARTINE AVENUE, 12TH FLOOR WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK 10606 TELEPHONE: (914) 285-1500 FAX: (914) 285-0055

To

Attorney(s) for

STATEMENT OF SERVICE BY MAIL AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT BY MAIL OF SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT OR SUMMONS WITH NOTICE OR NOTICE OF PETITION AND PETITION

SUPREME COURT OF	THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF WESTCH	ESTER
	X
DEREK RODRIGUEZ,	

Plaintiff,

-against-

STATEMENT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Index No. 57470/2019

VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER, POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING, Shield No. 126, POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOE #1-5 and POLICE OFFICERS JANE DOE #1-3. Date Filed: 05-09-2019

Defendants.

STATEMENT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

To: VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER
CORPORATION COUNSEL, DEPARTMENT OF LAW
222 Grace Church Street
Port Chester, New York 10573

The enclosed Summons and Complaint, or Summons with Notice, or Notice of Petition and Petition, and Notice of Commencement of Action Subject to Mandatory Electronic Filing, are served pursuant to Section 312-a of the Civil Practice Law and Rules.

To avoid being charged with the expense of service upon you, you must sign, date and complete the acknowledgment part of this form, and mail or deliver one copy of the completed form to the sender, within thirty (30) days from the date you receive it. If you wish to consult an attorney, you should do so as soon as possible, before the thirty (30) days expire.

If you do not complete and return the form to the sender within thirty (30) days, you (or the party on whose behalf you are being served) may be required to pay expenses incurred in servicing the Summons and Complaint, or Summons with Notice, or Notice of Petition and Petition, and Notice of Commencement of Action Subject to Mandatory Electronic Filing, in any other manner permitted by law, and the cost of such service, as permitted by law, may be entered as a judgment against you.

If you have received a Complaint or Petition with this Statement, the return of this Statement and Acknowledgment does not relieve you of the necessity to answer the Complaint or Petition. The time to answer expires twenty (20) days after the day you

mail this form to the sender. If you wish to consult with an attorney, you should do so as soon as possible, before the twenty (20) days expire.

If you are served on behalf of a corporation, unincorporated association, partnership or other entity, you must indicate under your signature, your relationship to the entity. If you are served on behalf of another person, and you are authorized to receive process, you must indicate your authority under your signature.

It is a crime to forge a signature or to make a false entry of this statement or on the acknowledgment.

Dated:

White Plains, New York

May 14, 2019

LAW OFFICES OF FRANCIS X. YOUNG, PLLC by:

FRANCIS X. YOUNG

Attorneys for Plaintiff, DEREK RODRIGUEZ 11 Martine Avenue, 12th Floor White Plains, New York 10606 (914) 285-1500

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OR RECEIPT OF SUMMONS AND COMPLIANT OR SUMMONS WITH NOTICE OR NOTICE OF PETITION AND PETITION and NOTICE OF COMMENCEMENT OF ACTION SUBJECT TO MANDATORY ELECTRONIC FILING

I received a Summons and Complaint, or Summons with Notice, or Notice of Petition and Petition, and Notice of Commencement of Action Subject to Mandatory Electronic Filing, in the above-captioned matter.

PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING; IF (2) IS CHECKED, COMPLETE AS INDICATED.

(1) / /	I am not in the military serv	ice.
(2) / /	I am in military service, and	I my rank, serial number and branch of service
are as follo	ows:	
	Rank:	
	Serial Numbe	er:
	Branch of Ser	vice:
Date:		
		Signature
		D
		Print Name
		Relationship to Entity/Authority to
		Receive Service of Process if applicable
		Liereire gelrice of Lioress II applicable

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER	
	- 7
DEREK RODRIGUEZ,	-

Plaintiff,

-against-

Index No.: 57470/2019

VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER, POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING, Shield No. 126, POLICE OFFICER JOHN DOE No. 1-5 and POLICE OFFICERS JANE DOE No. 1-3.

Defendants.

ELECTRONIC FILING

NOTICE OF COMMENCEMENT OF ACTION SUBJECT TO MANDATORY

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the matter captioned above has been commenced as an electronically filed case in the New York State Courts Electronic Filing System ("NYSCEF") as required by CPLR § 2111 and Uniform Rule§ 202.5-bb (mandatory electronic filing). This notice is being served as required by that rule.

NYSCEF is designed for the electronic filing of documents with the County Clerk and the court and for the electronic service of those documents, court documents, and court notices upon counsel and unrepresented litigants who have consented to electronic filing.

Electronic filing offers significant benefits for attorneys and litigants, permitting papers to be filed with the County Clerk and the court and served on other parties simply, conveniently, and quickly. NYSCEF case documents are filed with the County Clerk and the court by filing on the NYSCEF Website, which can be done at any time of the day or night on any day of the week. The documents are served automatically on all consenting e-filers as soon as the document is uploaded to the website, which sends out an immediate email notification of the filing.

The NYSCEF System charges no fees for filing, serving, or viewing the electronic case record, nor does it charge any fees to print any filed documents. Normal filing fees must be paid, but this can be done on-line.

Parties represented by an attorney: An attorney representing a party who is served with this notice must either: 1) immediately record his or her representation within thee-filed matter on the NYSCEF site; or 2) file the Notice of Opt-Out form with the clerk of the court where this action is pending. Exemptions from mandatory e-filing are limited to attorneys who certify in good faith that they lack the computer hardware and/or scanner and/or internet connection or that they lack (along with all employees subject to their direction) the operational knowledge to comply with e-filing requirements. [Section 202.5-bb(e)]

Parties not represented by an attorney: Unrepresented litigants are exempt from efiling. They can serve and file documents in paper form and must be served with documents in paper form. However, an unrepresented litigant may participate in efiling.

For information on how to participate in e-filing, unrepresented litigants should contact the appropriate clerk in the court where the action was filed or visit www.nycourts.gov/efileunrepresented. Unrepresented litigants also are encouraged to visit www.nycourthelp.gov or contact the Help Center in the court where the action was filed. An unrepresented litigant who consents to e-filing may cease participation at any time. However, the other parties may continue to e-file their court documents in the case.

For additional information about electronic filing and to create a NYSCEF account, visit the NYSCEF website at www.nycourts.gov/efile or contact the NYSCEF Resource Center (phone: 646-386-3033; e-mail: efile@nycourts.govl.

19

FRANCIS X YOUNG

Name

Firm Name LAW OFFICES OF FRANCIS X. YOUNG, PLLC

11 Martine Avenue, 12th Floor

Address

White Plains. NY 10606

City, State, and Zip

914-285-1500

Phone

fxy@younglawny.com E-Mail

To:

VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING, Shield No. 126 POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOE No. 1-5 POLICE OFFICERS JANE DOE No. 1-3

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER		
DEREK RODRIGUEZ,		
Plaintiff/Petitioner,		1-20
- against -	Index No.	57470/2019
VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER, POLICE OF Defendant/Respondent.		
NOTICE OF ELECTRO (Mandatory Ca (Uniform Rule § 20	se)	

You have received this Notice because:

- 1) The Plaintiff/Petitioner, whose name is listed above, has filed this case using the New York State Courts E-filing system ("NYSCEF"), and
- 2) You are a Defendant/Respondent (a party) in this case.
- If you are represented by an attorney:
 Give this Notice to your attorney. (Attorneys: see "Information for Attorneys" pg. 2).
- If you are not represented by an attorney:
 You will be served with all documents in paper and you must serve and file your
 documents in paper, unless you choose to participate in e-filing.

If you choose to participate in e-filing, you <u>must</u> have access to a computer and a scanner or other device to convert documents into electronic format, a connection to the internet, and an e-mail address to receive service of documents.

The benefits of participating in e-filing include:

- serving and filing your documents electronically
- free access to view and print your e-filed documents
- limiting your number of trips to the courthouse
- paying any court fees on-line (credit card needed)

To register for e-filing or for more information about how e-filing works:

- visit: www.nycourts.gov/efile-unrepresented or
- contact the Clerk's Office or Help Center at the court where the case was filed. Court contact information can be found at www.nycourts.gov

To find legal information to help you represent yourself visit www.nycourthelp.gov

Information for Attorneys (E-filing is Mandatory for Attorneys)

An attorney representing a party who is served with this notice must either:

- 1) immediately record his or her representation within the e-filed matter on the NYSCEF site www.nycourts.gov/efile; or
- 2) file the Notice of Opt-Out form with the clerk of the court where this action is pending and serve on all parties. Exemptions from mandatory e-filing are limited to attorneys who certify in good faith that they lack the computer hardware and/or scanner and/or internet connection or that they lack (along with all employees subject to their direction) the knowledge to operate such equipment. [Section 202.5-bb(e)]

For additional information about electronic filing and to create a NYSCEF account, visit the NYSCEF website at www.nycourts.gov/efile or contact the NYSCEF Resource Center (phone: 646-386-3033; e-mail: efile@nycourts.gov).

Dated: May 9, 2019	
FRANCIS X. YOUNG, ESQUIRE Name	11 Martine Avenue, 12th Floor Address
LAW OFFICES OF FRANCIS X. YOLFIRM Name	White Plains, New York 10606
	(914) 285-1500 Phone
	fxy@younglawny.com E-Mail

To: VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER

POLICE OFFICER KEN MANI

POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DO

6/6/18

To find legal information to help you represent yourself visit www.nycourthelp.gov

Information for Attorneys (E-filing is Mandatory for Attorneys)

An attorney representing a party who is served with this notice must either:

- 1) immediately record his or her representation within the e-filed matter on the NYSCEF site www.nycourts.gov/efile; or
- 2) file the Notice of Opt-Out form with the clerk of the court where this action is pending and serve on all parties. Exemptions from mandatory e-filing are limited to attorneys who certify in good faith that they lack the computer hardware and/or scanner and/or internet connection or that they lack (along with all employees subject to their direction) the knowledge to operate such equipment. [Section 202.5-bb(e)]

For additional information about electronic filing and to create a NYSCEF account, visit the NYSCEF website at www.nycourts.gov/efile or contact the NYSCEF Resource Center (phone: 646-386-3033; e-mail: efile@nycourts.gov).

Dated: May 9, 2019	
FRANCIS X. YOUNG, ESQUIRE Name	11 Martine Avenue, 12th Floor Address
LAW OFFICES OF FRANCIS X. YOLFIRM Name	White Plains, New York 10606
	(914) 285-1500 Phone
	fxy@younglawny.com E-Mail

To: VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER

POLICE OFFICER KEN MANI

POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DO

6/6/18

STATEMENT OF SERVICE BY MAIL AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT BY MAIL OF SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT OR SUMMONS WITH NOTICE OR NOTICE OF PETITION AND PETITION

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER
DEREK RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

STATEMENT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Index No. 57470/2019

Date Filed: 05-09-2019

VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER, POLICE OFFICER KEN MANNING, Shield No. 126, POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOE #1-5 and POLICE OFFICERS JANE DOE #1-3.

Defendants.

STATEMENT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

VILLAGE OF PORT CHESTER To: CORPORATION COUNSEL, DEPARTMENT OF LAW 222 Grace Church Street Port Chester, New York 10573

The enclosed Summons and Complaint, or Summons with Notice, or Notice of Petition and Petition, and Notice of Commencement of Action Subject to Mandatory Electronic Filing, are served pursuant to Section 312-a of the Civil Practice Law and Rules.

To avoid being charged with the expense of service upon you, you must sign, date and complete the acknowledgment part of this form, and mail or deliver one copy of the completed form to the sender, within thirty (30) days from the date you receive it. If you wish to consult an attorney, you should do so as soon as possible, before the thirty (30) days expire.

If you do not complete and return the form to the sender within thirty (30) days, you (or the party on whose behalf you are being served) may be required to pay expenses incurred in servicing the Summons and Complaint, or Summons with Notice, or Notice of Petition and Petition, and Notice of Commencement of Action Subject to Mandatory Electronic Filing, in any other manner permitted by law, and the cost of such service, as permitted by law, may be entered as a judgment against you.

If you have received a Complaint or Petition with this Statement, the return of this Statement and Acknowledgment does not relieve you of the necessity to answer the Complaint or Petition. The time to answer expires twenty (20) days after the day you

mail this form to the sender. If you wish to consult with an attorney, you should do so as soon as possible, before the twenty (20) days expire.

If you are served on behalf of a corporation, unincorporated association, partnership or other entity, you must indicate under your signature, your relationship to the entity. If you are served on behalf of another person, and you are authorized to receive process, you must indicate your authority under your signature.

It is a crime to forge a signature or to make a false entry of this statement or on the acknowledgment.

Dated:

White Plains, New York

May 14, 2019

LAW OFFICES OF FRANCIS X. YOUNG, PLLC by:

FRANCIS X. YOUNG

Attorneys for Plaintiff, DEREK RODRIGUEZ 11 Martine Avenue, 12th Floor White Plains, New York 10606 (914) 285-1500

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OR RECEIPT OF SUMMONS AND COMPLIANT OR SUMMONS WITH NOTICE OR NOTICE OF PETITION AND PETITION and NOTICE OF COMMENCEMENT OF ACTION SUBJECT TO MANDATORY ELECTRONIC FILING

I received a Summons and Complaint, or Summons with Notice, or Notice of Petition and Petition, and Notice of Commencement of Action Subject to Mandatory Electronic Filing, in the above-captioned matter.

PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING; IF (2) IS CHECKED, COMPLETE AS INDICATED.

(1) / / (2) / / are as follo	I am not in the military service. I am in military service, and my rank, serial number and branch of service ws:
	Rank: Serial Number: Branch of Service:
Date:	Signature
	Print Name
	Relationship to Entity/Authority to Receive Service of Process if applicable